Assignment 7

Modified Tue Feb 24 12:35:21 EST 2009

Contents

L.	Background		
2.	Calling Conventions		1
3.	Scheme Subset 6		1
1.	Things to do		2
	4.1.	verify-scheme	3
	4.2.	remove-complex-opera*	3
	4.3.	flatten-set!	3
	4.4.	$\verb impose-calling-conventions $	4
	4.5.	${\tt uncover-frame-conflict} \ \dots \dots \dots$	6
	4.6.	pre-assign-frame	6
	4.7.	assign-new-frame	6
	4.8.	$\verb introduce-allocation-forms $	7
	4.9.	select-instructions	7
	4.10.	${\tt uncover-register-conflict} \ \dots \dots \dots$	8
	4.11.	${\tt finalize-frame-locations} \ \dots \dots \dots$	8
	4.12.	discard-call-live	8
	4.13.	${\tt finalize-locations} \ \dots \dots \dots \dots$	8
	4.14.	expose-frame-var	8
	4.15.	expose-basic-blocks	9
	4.16.	Iterating	9
ó.	Boilerplate and Run-time Code		
j.	Testing		
7.	Coding Hints		
	ε		

1. Background

In this assignment we make one change to our source language: the addition of nontail calls. Though a simple change to the language, it impacts the compiler in many ways.

2. Calling Conventions

To handle nontail calls, we need to add a couple of additional notes to the calling conventions given in:

- at the point of a nontail call, the caller is responsible for positioning the frame pointer above its own frame to establish the callee's frame and placing the callee's frame arguments in the callee's frame; and
- at the point of return, the callee is responsible for ensuring that the frame pointer is again pointing at the base of its frame.

3. Scheme Subset 6

A grammar for the subset of Scheme we'll be handling this week adds nontail calls in *Value* and *Effect* contexts.

```
Program
                (letrec ([label (lambda (uvar*) Body)]*) Body)
Body
                (locals (uvar*) Tail)
Tail
                Triv
                (binop Value Value)
                (Value Value*)
                (if Pred Tail Tail)
                (begin Effect* Tail)
Pred
                (true)
                (false)
                (relop Value Value)
                (if Pred Pred Pred)
                (begin Effect* Pred)
Effect
                (nop)
                (set! uvar Value)
                (Value Value*)
                (if Pred Effect Effect)
                (begin Effect* Effect)
Value
                Triv
                (binop Value Value)
                (Value Value*)
                (if Pred Value Value)
                (begin Effect* Value)
Triv
                uvar \mid int \mid label
```

Unique variables (uvar), labels (label), integers (int), binary operators (binop), and relational operators (relop) are unchanged from the preceding subset. The machine constraints on integer values also remain from the preceding subset.

4. Things to do

To handle the new source language, we need to make minor updates to:

- verify-scheme,
- $\bullet \ \, \texttt{remove-complex-opera*},$
- flatten-set!,
- $\bullet \ \mathtt{select-instructions},$
- uncover-register-conflict,
- assign-registers,
- ullet assign-frame,
- finalize-frame-locations, and
- finalize-locations;

make major updates to:

- impose-calling-conventions,
- \bullet uncover-frame-conflict, and

• discard-call-live;

rewrite:

• expose-frame-var;

add:

- pre-assign-frame, and
- assign-new-frame;

discard:

• introduce-allocation-forms.

and make a small change in the iteration of our register and frame allocation passes.

This looks like a lot of work, and it is. Fortunately, the only totally new pass is assign-new-frame, since pre-assign-frame is essentially the same as assign-frame.

The new and updated passes are described in sections 4.1 through 4.15. The change in iteration is described in Section 4.16.

4.1. verify-scheme

This pass requires two simple one match-clause additions to handle nontail calls in Value and Effect contexts.

4.2. remove-complex-opera*

This pass requires two simple one match-clause additions to handle nontail calls in Value and Effect contexts.

4.3. flatten-set!

This pass requires two simple one match-clause additions to handle nontail calls in *Value* and *Effect* contexts. A grammar for the output of this pass is given below.

```
(letrec ([label (lambda (uvar*) Body)]*) Body)
Program
Body
                 (locals (uvar*) Tail)
Tail
                 Triv
                 (binop Triv Triv)
                 ( Triv Triv*)
                 (if Pred Tail Tail)
                 (begin Effect* Tail)
Pred
                 (true)
                 (false)
                 (relop Triv Triv)
                 (if Pred Pred Pred)
                 (begin Effect* Pred)
Effect
                 (nop)
                 (set! uvar Triv)
                 (set! uvar (binop Triv Triv))
                 (set! uvar (Triv Triv*))
                 (Triv Triv*)
                 (if Pred Effect Effect)
                 (begin Effect* Effect)
Triv
                 uvar \mid int \mid label
```

4.4. impose-calling-conventions

This pass also requires two one match-clause additions to handle nontail calls, plus it must record the lists of outgoing frame variables for the nontail calls it finds. (If your version of this pass does not already process *Pred* and *Effect* expression, it will now have to do so.)

For a nontail call in *Effect* context, this pass should perform the following transformation:

```
 (proc \ e_0 \ \dots \ e_{n-1} \ e_n \ \dots \ e_{n+k-1}) \\ \Rightarrow \ (return-point \ rp-label \\ (begin \\ (set! \ nfv_0 \ e_n) \\ \dots \\ (set! \ nfv_{k-1} \ e_{n+k-1}) \\ (set! \ p_0 \ e_0) \\ \dots \\ (set! \ p_{n-1} \ e_{n-1}) \\ (set! \ ra \ rp-label) \\ (proc \ fp \ ra \ p_0 \ \dots \ p_{n-1} \ nfv_0 \ \dots \ fv_{k-1})))
```

where the variables nfv_0 through nfv_{k-1} are fresh unique variables and rp-label is a fresh label.

The list of variables ($nfv_0 ldots nfv_{k-1}$) must also be recorded as one of the new frames in the new-frames form (see grammar below) wrapped around the body. This is most easily accomplished by adding them to a running list stored in a variable that is local to the Body helper. All of the other helpers will need to be tucked inside Body as well.

We use new-frame variables rather than frame variables because we do not yet know where the outgoing frame will be situated, i.e., how big the caller's frame will be. This will be decided by the new pass assign-new-frame.

The relative order of the assignments for the new-frame variables is irrelevant, as is the order of the assignments for the register variables. All of the new-frame assignments should come before all of the register assignments, however, to limit the live ranges of the the parameter registers.

For a nontail call on the right-hand side of an assignment, this pass should perform essentially the same

transformation as above, except follow the return-point form with an assignment of the left-hand-side variable to the return-value register, which is where the callee will leave its value.

```
(set! uvar

(proc \ e_0 \ \dots \ e_{n-1} \ e_n \ \dots \ e_{n+k-1}))

\Rightarrow (begin

return\text{-}point \ code, \ as \ above}

(set! \ uvar \ rv))
```

The easist way to do this is to call back into the Effect helper to handle the call, then build the begin expression with the assignment, using something like the following match clause.

```
[(set! ,var (,rator ,rand* ...))
  (make-begin
    '(,(Effect '(,rator ,rand* ...))
        (set! ,var ,return-value-register)))]
```

The new new-frames form wrapped around the body lists the new-frame variables (in order) for each of the frames found in the body. For example:

```
(new-frames ((nfv.17 nfv.18 nfv.19) (nfv.20)) tail)
```

declares that tail contains two return-point forms, one of which has three outgoing new-frame parameters (nfv.17, nfv.18, and nfv.19) and the other of which has one new-frame parameter (nfv.20). The lists need not appear in any particular order, but within each list, the first outgoing parameter must be listed first, the second next, and so on.

One more thing must be done by this pass, which is to include each of the new-frame variables in the locals form. If this is not done, uncover-frame-conflict will not know to create associations for them in the conflict table.

A grammar for the output of this pass is given below.

```
(letrec ([label (lambda () Body)]*) Body)
Body
                 (locals (uvar*)
                   (new-frames (Frame*) Tail))
Frame
                 (uvar*)
                ( Triv Loc*)
Tail
                 (if Pred Tail Tail)
                 (begin Effect* Tail)
                (true)
Pred
                 (false)
                 (relop Triv Triv)
                 (if Pred Pred Pred)
                 (begin Effect* Pred)
Effect
                 (nop)
                 (set! Var Triv)
                 (set! Var (binop Triv Triv))
                 (return-point label (Triv Loc*))
                 (if Pred Effect Effect)
                 (begin Effect* Effect)
Loc
                reg | fvar
Var
                uvar \mid Loc
Triv
                 Var \mid int \mid label
```

4.5. uncover-frame-conflict

This pass requires a single match-clause addition to handle nontail calls, i.e., return-point forms. In addition, it must determine the set of *call-live* variables and frame locations. A variable or frame location is call-live if it is live across a call, i.e., its value might yet be needed at the return point of the call.

The set of call-live variables and frame locations is recorded in a new call-live body wrapper for use by assign-new-frame. The set of call-live variables (but not frame locations) in recorded separately in a spills wrapper for use by pre-assign-frame.

In practical terms, call-live variables and frame locations are those that are live after some return-point form. Gathering the entire set of call-live variables is most easily accomplished by having the Effect helper that processes return-point forms add them to a running set stored in a variable that is local to the Body helper. All of the other helpers will need to be tucked inside Body as well.

The set of variables and frame locations live on entry to a return-point form includes both those that are live on exit from the return-point form (the call-live variables and frame locations) and those that are live one entry to the *Tail* expression tucked inside the return-point form, which should be processed just like any other *Tail* expression.

Only the *Body* grammar is different in the output of this pass. It differs in the addition of the spills, call-live, and (as before) frame-conflict wrappers.

```
Body \longrightarrow (locals (uvar^*) \ (new-frames (Frame^*) \ (spills (uvar^*) \ (frame-conflict conflict-graph \ (call-live (uvar/fvar^*) Tail)))))
```

4.6. pre-assign-frame

This pass finds frame homes for the variables listed in the spills list. It differs from assign-frame only in the structure of the input and output Body forms. Like assign-frame, it eliminates the spills form but leaves behind the other forms. It also adds the locate form, which is not present in its input. So the Body grammar for the output is as shown below.

```
Body \longrightarrow (locals (uvar^*) \\ (new-frames (Frame^*) \\ (locate ([uvar fvar]^*) \\ (frame-conflict conflict-graph \\ (call-live (uvar/fvar^*) Tail)))))
```

4.7. assign-new-frame

For each body, this pass determines the size the body's frame, based on the locations of the variables and frame variables in the call-live list. It then selects homes for each of the new-frame variables listed in the new-frames form and rewrites the code of the body to place the callee's frame just above the body's frame.

The size of the frame is, simply, one more than the maximum index of the frame locations of the call-live variables or frame variables. The index of a frame variable can be determined directly via the helpers.ss procedure frame-var-¿index, while determining the index of a variable involves first finding, via the locate form, the frame variable to which it has been assigned.

Once the frame size n has been determined, each outgoing sequence of new-frame variables is assigned to the frame locations fv_n , fv_{n+1} , etc. These are recorded in the locate form along with the assignments already made there by the preceding pass. In addition, each return-point form is rewritten to increment and decrement the frame pointer register by the number of bytes nb represented by the n items in the

procedure's frame.

```
(return-point rp-label tail) \Rightarrow (begin (set! fp (+ fp nb)) (return-point rp-label tail) (set! fp (- fp nb)))
```

nb may be computed by shifting n left by the value of the helpers.ss variable align-shift.

For example, consider the following Body.

The frame size is 3, because the maximum index of the locations of the call-live variables and frame variables is 2. (The index for rp.12 is 0, for x.3 is 2, and for fv1 is 1. The maximum of 0, 2, and 1 is 2.) The locations assigned each sequence of frame variables therefore starts with fv3, as shown in the output below.

The new-frames and call-live forms, which are no longer needed, have been dropped. Also, the new-frame variables have been removed from the locals form, which is a simple matter of subtracting all of the new-frame variables listed in the new-frames form from the set of incoming locals.

One additional form has appeared: the ulocals form formerly inserted by introduce-allocation-forms, which we can now discard from our compiler.

Within *tail* in the example above, the amount by which the frame pointer is incremented and decremented around each return-point form is 3 scaled by align-shift, or 24 given the standard helpers.ss value of 3 for align-shift.

Ideally, we would determine the frame sizes independently at each nontail call site, rather than taking a "one size fits all" approach that may result in overly large frames at some call sites. This would require uncover-frame-conflict to leave behind more detailed call-live information, and it would make assign-new-frame significantly more complex as well. We've decided to hold the complexity down instead.

4.8. introduce-allocation-forms

This pass goes away, i.e., no longer appears in the set of passes declared via the compiler-passes parameter. The locate form it formerly introduced is now introduced by pre-assign-frame, and the ulocals form is now introduced by assign-new-frame.

4.9. select-instructions

This pass requires a simple one match-clause addition to handle return-point forms in *Effect* context.

4.10. uncover-register-conflict

This pass requires a simple one match-clause addition to handle return-point forms in *Effect* context.

A nontail call is an implicit assignment to all of the caller-save registers. In the case of uncover-frame-conflict, we do not care about conflicts involving registers. For uncover-register-conflict, only registers can be live after a call (since all call-live variables have been spilled), and we do not care about register-register conflicts. Thus we do not have to worry about the fact that a nontail call is an implicit assignment, although we could verify that no registers, other than the frame-pointer and return-value registers, are live after a return-point form.

4.11. finalize-frame-locations

This pass requires a simple one match-clause addition to handle return-point forms in *Effect* context. It also needs to process the set of live locations listed in each call, as if they were arbitrary *Triv* expressions, in case any new-frame variables are present in the set of live locations. For example,

```
(proc rbp r15 r8 r9 nfv.20)
```

should be converted to

if nfv.20 has been assigned to frame variable fv3.

If this were not done, uncover-register-conflict would trip over nfv.20 when it appeared in its live set.

4.12. discard-call-live

This pass must now process *Effect* and *Pred* expressions to look for nontail calls, whereas previously all calls were in *Tail* context.

4.13. finalize-locations

This pass requires a simple one match-clause addition to handle return-point forms in *Effect* context.

4.14. expose-frame-var

This pass must now be flow sensitive to track changes in frame pointer register. This is necessary because we are now incrementing the frame pointer before each return-point form, yet frame variables may appear within the return-point form. The index of a frame variable appearing within code affected by one of these frame-pointer increments must be adjusted by the amount of the increment. To make this fully general, the pass should be able to handle arbitrary placement of the frame-pointer increments and decrements, in case an optimization pass has moved or altered them in some way, e.g., to leave the frame-pointer in place if two or more nontail calls occur in succession.

Each helper used by this pass will need to be told the amount by which the frame pointer is currently offset. It will also need to return the final offset as an additional return value, i.e., return both the transformed expression and the final frame-pointer offset. The Effect helper will need to recognize frame-pointer increments and decrements and adjust the offset accordingly. For if expressions, the then and else parts should start off with the same offset, i.e., the offset coming out of the test part, and it's a good idea to verify that the ending offsets for the then and else parts are the same.

4.15. expose-basic-blocks

This pass requires a one match-clause addition to handle (return-point rp-label tail) forms in Effect context.

It should package up the "rest" expressions (those that follow the return-point form) in a lambda expression and bind rp-label to this lambda expression, process the encapsulated tail expression like any other Tail expression, and process the "before" expressions (those that precede the return-point form, if any, in the same begin expression) as with any other Effect expression.

4.16. Iterating

Because some variables (the call-live variables) are given frame homes before the iteration begins, we need to make a simple change to the iteration, which is to move finalize-frame-locations to the top of the iteration, where it is run each time, from the bottom, where it was run only after assign-frame. It needs to be run at the start of the iteration to finalize the locations assigned by pre-assign-frame and assign-new-frame. With this change and the addition of the new passes, the compiler-passes parameter is set as follows.

```
(compiler-passes '(
  verify-scheme
  remove-complex-opera*
  flatten-set!
  impose-calling-conventions
  uncover-frame-conflict
  pre-assign-frame
  assign-new-frame
  (iterate
    finalize-frame-locations
    select-instructions
    uncover-register-conflict
    assign-registers
    (break when everybody-home?)
    assign-frame)
  discard-call-live
  finalize-locations
  expose-frame-var
  expose-basic-blocks
  flatten-program
  generate-x86-64
))
```

5. Boilerplate and Run-time Code

The boilerplate and run-time code does not change.

6. Testing

A small set of invalid and valid tests for this assignment have been posted in tests7.ss. You should make sure that your compiler passes work at least on this set of tests.

7. Coding Hints

Before starting, study the output of the online compiler for several examples.